

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 4/99

CB 23E

IMPORTANT: Please consult the "Instructions for Completing the Project Application" for assistance in completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: City of St. Bernard CODE# 061- 69470

DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUNTY: Hamilton DATE 09/22/00

CONTACT William R. McCormick PHONE # (513) 721-5500

(THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS DURING THE APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS)

FAX (513) 721-0607 E-MAIL jvatter@jmaconsult.com

PROJECT NAME: Andalus Avenue Improvements

SUBDIVISION TYPE

(Check Only 1)

- ☐ 1. County
☒ 2. City
☐ 3. Township
☐ 4. Village
☐ 5. Water/Sanitary District
(Section 6119 O.R.C.)

FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED

(Check All Requested & Enter Amount)

- ☒ 1. Grant \$46,000.00
☐ 2. Loan
☐ 3. Loan Assistance \$

PROJECT TYPE

(Check Largest Component)

- ☐ 1. Road
☐ 2. Bridge/Culvert
☐ 3. Water Supply
☐ 4. Wastewater
☐ 5. Solid Waste
☒ 6. Stormwater

TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 92,000.00 FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 46,000.00

DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

GRANT:\$ _____ LOAN ASSISTANCE:\$ _____

SCIP LOAN: \$ _____ RATE: _____ % TERM: _____ yrs.

RLP LOAN: \$ 46,000.00 RATE: 3 % TERM: 20 yrs.

(Check Only 1)

☒ State Capital Improvement Program

☐ Local Transportation Improvements Program

☐ Small Government Program

NEEDS
LEGISLATION
~~LOAN ASSISTANCE~~
CALLED J. VATTER
12-5-00
8:30 AM

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: C _____ /C _____

Local Participation _____ %

OPWC Participation _____ %

Project Release Date: ____/____/____

OPWC Approval: _____

APPROVED FUNDING:\$ _____

Loan Interest Rate: _____ %

Loan Term: _____ years

Maturity Date: _____

Date Approved: ____/____/____

SCIP Loan _____ RLP Loan _____

2000 SEP 22 PM 12:35

OFFICE OF NEW BURLINGTON
COUNTY ENGINEER

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS:
(Round to Nearest Dollar)

TOTAL DOLLARS

**FORCE ACCOUNT
DOLLARS**

a.)	Basic Engineering Services:	\$ _____ .00	_____
	Preliminary Design	\$ _____ .00	
	Final Design	\$ _____ .00	
	Bidding	\$ _____ .00	
	Construction Phase	\$ _____ .00	
	Additional Engineering Services	\$ _____ .00	_____
	*Identify services and costs below.		
b.)	Acquisition Expenses:		
	Land and/or Right-of-Way	\$ _____ .00	_____
c.)	Construction Costs:	\$ <u>92,000</u> .00	_____
d.)	Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$ _____ .00	-
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal:	\$ _____ .00	
	(Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)		
f.)	Construction Contingencies:	\$ _____ .00	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>92,000</u> .00	

*List Additional Engineering Services here:
Service:

Cost:

1.2 **PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES:**
(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	DOLLARS	%
a.) Local In-Kind Contributions	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
b.) Local Revenues	\$ <u>46,000 .00</u>	<u>50</u>
c.) Other Public Revenues	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
ODOT	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
Rural Development	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
OEPA	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
OWDA	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
CDBG	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
OTHER <u> </u>	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>46,000 .00</u>	<u>50</u>
d.) OPWC Funds		
1. Grant	\$ <u>46,000 .00</u>	<u>50</u>
2. Loan	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
3. Loan Assistance	\$ <u> .00</u>	<u> </u>
SUBTOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	\$ <u>46,000 .00</u>	<u>50</u>
TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>92,000 .00</u>	<u>100%</u>

1.3 **AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:**

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section.

ODOT PID# Sale Date:

STATUS: (Check one)

Traditional

Local Planning Agency (LPA)

State Infrastructure Bank

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

If project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Andalus Avenue Improvements

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C):

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Bank Avenue to Terminus

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45217

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS:

- 1.) Construct new catch basins
- 2.) Construct new storm sewer
- 3.) Mill/overlay existing pavement

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS:

Length = 350 ft.

Pavement Width = 20 ft.

D: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

Detail current service capacity vs. proposed service level.

Road or Bridge: Current ADT 50 Year: 2000

Projected ADT: Year:

Water/Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attach current rate ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$ Proposed Rate: \$

Stormwater: Number of households served: 11

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 75 Years.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	<u>\$ 61,000.00</u>
TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	<u>\$ 31,000.00</u>

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: *

	BEGIN DATE	END DATE
4.1 Engineering/Design:	<u>08/00/99</u>	<u>03/30/01</u>
4.2 Bid Advertisement and Award:	<u>05/01/01</u>	<u>06/01/01</u>
4.3 Construction:	<u>07/01/01</u>	<u>12/30/01</u>
4.4 Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:	<u>NA</u>	<u>/ /</u>

* Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER	Barbara Siegel
TITLE	Mayor
STREET	110 Washington Avenue
CITY/ZIP	St. Bernard 45217
PHONE	(513) 242-7770
FAX	(513) 641-1840
E-MAIL	

5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER	Walter St. Clair
TITLE	Auditor
STREET	110 Washington Avenue
CITY/ZIP	St. Bernard 45217
PHONE	(513) 242-7770
FAX	(513) 641-1840
E-MAIL	

5.3 PROJECT MANAGER

TITLE	William R. McCormick
STREET	Project Engineer
CITY/ZIP	2021 Auburn Avenue
PHONE	Cincinnati 45219
FAX	(513) 721-5500
E-MAIL	(513) 721-0607

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO.

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [] below that each item listed is attached.

- [X] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [X] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [X] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's original seal or stamp and signature.
- [NA] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- [NA] Projects which include new and expansion components and potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [X] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [X] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

Barbara C. Siegel, Mayor

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Barbara C. Siegel, Mayor

Signature/Date Signed

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION LOAN SUPPLEMENT

This supplement is required for all loan applicants.

Attach the following to the "Ohio Public Works Commission Application for Assistance"

- ☐ Copy of Legislation authorizing current rates.
☐ A statement from applicant's Chief Fiscal Officer certifying method of repayment.
☒ A copy of previous year Financial Statement.

Complete the following:

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	Water	Sewer
Residential	N/A	N/A
Commerical	/	/
Industrial	/	/
Other	/	/

SYSTEM EXPENDITURES	Water	Sewer
Operation Expenses	N/A	N/A
Debt Service Payments	/	/
Surplus	/	/
General Fund Transfer	/	/
Other	/	/

RATES	Water	Sewer
Current	N/A	N/A
Last Increase (year and amount)	/	/
Planned Increase	/	/

RATINGS

Moody's	S&P	General Obligation	Revenues

DEBT OUTSTANDING (do not include new OPWC loan)	Total Debt	Annual Payment	Last Payment Date
Other OPWC loans	0		
Revenue Bonds	0		
GO Bonds	0		
Other	0		



STATE OF OHIO
OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR

JIM PETRO, AUDITOR OF STATE

250 West Court Street
Suite 150 E
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Telephone 513-361-8550
800-368-7419
Facsimile 513-361-8577
www.auditor.state.oh.us

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

City of St. Bernard
Hamilton County
110 Washington Avenue
St. Bernard, Ohio 45217

To the City Council:

We have audited the accompanying general-purpose financial statements of the City of St. Bernard, Hamilton County, Ohio (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 1999, as listed in the table of contents. These general-purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these general-purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the general-purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the City of St. Bernard, Hamilton County, as of December 31, 1999, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated July 25, 2000 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general-purpose financial statements of the City, taken as a whole. The schedules listed in the table of contents, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the general-purpose financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general-purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented, in all material respects, in relation to the general-purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Jim Petro", written over a horizontal line.

Jim Petro
Auditor of State

July 25, 2000

This page intentionally left blank.

THE CITY OF ST. BERNARD, OHIO
COMBINED BALANCE SHEET
ALL FUND TYPES AND ACCOUNT GROUPS
DECEMBER 31, 1999

<i>Fiduciary Fund Types</i>	<i>Account Groups</i>		Totals (Memorandum Only)
Trust and Agency Funds	General Fixed Assets	General Long-Term Obligations	
\$27,248	\$0	\$0	\$154,020
0	0	0	51,122
0	0	0	11,232,149
0	0	0	92,842
0	0	0	1,265,788
0	0	0	16,429
0	0	0	147,696
0	0	0	2,067
0	0	0	246,472
0	0	0	21,118
0	0	0	12,421
0	9,685,428	0	9,685,428
0	0	2,786,174	2,786,174
<u>\$27,248</u>	<u>\$9,685,428</u>	<u>\$2,786,174</u>	<u>\$25,713,726</u>
\$5,165	\$0	\$0	\$47,237
1,124	0	0	252,702
25,818	0	0	25,818
0	0	0	564,905
0	0	0	79,180
0	0	923,263	923,263
0	0	18,278	18,278
0	0	1,844,633	1,913,497
<u>32,107</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2,786,174</u>	<u>3,824,880</u>
0	9,685,428	0	9,685,428
0	0	0	21,118
0	0	0	12,421
0	0	0	143,964
(4,859)	0	0	12,025,915
<u>(4,859)</u>	<u>9,685,428</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>21,888,846</u>
<u>\$27,248</u>	<u>\$9,685,428</u>	<u>\$2,786,174</u>	<u>\$25,713,726</u>

This page intentionally left blank.

THE CITY OF ST. BERNARD, OHIO
 COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
 AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
 BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BUDGETARY BASIS)
 ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES AND EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

Capital Projects Funds			Expendable Trust Fund			Totals (Memorandum Only)		
Revised		Variance:	Revised		Variance:	Revised		Variance:
Budget	Actual	Favorable (Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	Favorable (Unfavorable)	Budget	Actual	Favorable (Unfavorable)
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,015,426	\$9,270,759	\$255,333
0	0	0	0	0	0	993,367	1,054,097	60,730
0	0	0	0	0	0	36,576	38,697	2,121
0	0	0	0	0	0	58,594	62,599	4,005
0	0	0	0	0	0	478,173	510,814	32,641
0	0	0	0	0	0	124	124	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	494,588	527,903	33,315
0	32	32	0	0	0	98,409	105,168	6,759
0	32	32	0	0	0	11,175,257	11,570,161	394,904
0	0	0	0	0	0	4,306,027	4,150,843	155,184
0	0	0	0	0	0	113,812	110,079	3,733
0	0	0	0	0	0	569,891	525,661	44,230
0	0	0	0	0	0	15,200	9,200	6,000
0	0	0	0	0	0	903,134	883,674	19,460
0	0	0	0	0	0	485,556	453,588	31,968
0	0	0	32,000	31,694	306	2,547,454	2,386,562	160,892
0	0	0	0	0	0	697,235	671,526	25,709
419,974	308,992	110,982	0	0	0	419,974	308,992	110,982
419,974	308,992	110,982	32,000	31,694	306	10,058,283	9,500,125	558,158
(419,974)	(308,960)	111,014	(32,000)	(31,694)	306	1,116,974	2,070,036	953,062
216,064	216,064	0	22,488	24,488	2,000	437,067	439,067	2,000
0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,018,708)	(439,067)	579,641
216,064	216,064	0	22,488	24,488	2,000	(581,641)	0	581,641
(203,910)	(92,896)	111,014	(9,512)	(7,206)	2,306	535,333	2,070,036	1,534,703
229,866	229,866	0	7,512	7,512	0	9,406,118	9,406,118	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	771	771	0
\$25,956	\$136,970	\$111,014	(\$2,000)	\$306	\$2,306	\$9,942,222	\$11,476,925	\$1,534,703


PROJECT: ANDALUS AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS
ENG. EST.: \$92,000.00


ENGINEER'S
ESTIMATE

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANT	UNIT	TOTAL
6" CONDUIT	LF	170	\$ 50.00	\$ 8,500.00
12" CONDUIT	LF	250	\$ 70.00	\$ 17,500.00
15" CONDUIT	LF	150	\$ 80.00	\$ 12,000.00
CATCH BASINS	EA	4	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 8,000.00
MANHOLES	EA	4	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 8,000.00
ITEM 403 (LEVELING)	CY	35	\$ 100.00	\$ 3,500.00
ITEM 404 (SURFACE)	CY	35	\$ 100.00	\$ 3,500.00
CONSTRUCTION LAYOUT STAKES	LS	1	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
UTILITY ADJUSTMENTS	LS	1	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
MAINTAIN TRAFFIC	LS	1	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
RESTORATION	LS	1	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
CONNECT TO EX. INTERCEPTOR SEWER	LS	1	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST \$92,000.00

I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS TO BE AN ACCURATE ESTIMATE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.
THE USEFUL LIFE OF THIS PROJECT IS 75 YEARS.


JOHN R. GOEDDE, P.E.





City of St. Bernard

St. Bernard, Ohio 45217


STATUS OF FUNDS CERTIFICATION



CITY OF ST BERNARD

The City of St. Bernard will use \$46,000.00 from its General Fund for its participation in the Andulus Court Improvements project.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Walter St. Clair", is positioned above the printed name.

Walter St. Clair, Auditor
City of St. Bernard





ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2001 (July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant should also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

Give a statement of the nature of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application. Examples of deficiencies include: structural condition; substandard design elements such as widths, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, etc.

Existing pavement is deteriorating with substantial alligator cracking. No storm sewers currently exist in Andalus Avenue.

2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Existing buildings and pavements currently drain to area drains which connect to the sanitary sewer system. This situation leads to sewage backups and basement flooding. The new catch basins and drainage system will facilitate street drainage and provide an outfall for systems currently connected to the sanitary sewer. New pavement will provide a smooth driving surface.

3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.). Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Project will improve the health by eliminating basement flooding.

4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?

The jurisdiction must submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance.

Priority 1 Andalus Avenue

Priority 2

Priority 3

Priority 4

Priority 5

5) Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?

Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.).

No ☒ Yes ☐ If yes, what user fees and/or assessments will be utilized?

6) Economic Growth – How will the completed project enhance economic growth

Give a statement of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).

N/A

7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form.

8) Matching Funds - OTHER

The information regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the Ohio Public Works Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form. If MRF funds are being used for matching funds, the MRF application must have been filed by August 6 of this year for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office. List below, the source(s) of all "other" funding

- 8) Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?

Describe how the proposed project will alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards (be specific).

The project will eliminate the flooding and sewage backups in basements.

For roadway betterment projects, provide the existing and proposed Level of Service (LOS) of the facility using the methodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual.

Existing LOS _____ Proposed LOS _____

If the proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, explain why LOS "C" cannot be achieved.

- 9) If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded?

If SCIP/LTIP funds are awarded, how soon after receiving the Project Agreement from OPWC (tentatively set for July 1 of the year following the deadline for applications) would the project be under contract? The Support Staff will review status reports of previous projects to help judge the accuracy of a jurisdiction's anticipated project schedule.

Number of months 1

- a.) Are preliminary plans or engineering completed? Yes X No _____ N/A _____
- b.) Are detailed construction plans completed? Yes X No _____ N/A _____
- c.) Are all utility coordination's completed? Yes _____ No X N/A _____
- d.) Are all right-of-way and easements acquired (if applicable)? Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

If no, how many parcels needed for project? _____ Of these, how many are: Takes _____
Temporary _____
Permanent _____

For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the status of the ROW acquisition process for this project.

e.) Give an estimate of time needed to complete any item above not yet completed. 2 months.

10) Does the infrastructure have regional impact?

Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

The stormwater drainage to the sanitary sewer system is currently being treated at the MSD Millcreek WWTP. The project will remove this flow benefitting MSD rate payers.

11) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.

12) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?

Describe what formal action has been taken which resulted in a ban of the use of or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by a structural or operational problem to be considered valid. Submission of a copy of the approved legislation would be helpful.

No ban

Will be ban be removed after the project is completed? Yes _____ No _____ N/A X

13) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

For roads and bridges, multiply current Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4. User information must be documented and certified by a professional engineer or the jurisdictions' C.E.O.

Traffic: ADT _____ X 1.20 = _____ Users

Water/Sewer: Homes 11 X 4.00 = 44 Users

14) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure?

The applying jurisdiction shall list what type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.

Optional \$5.00 License Tax yes

Infrastructure Levy _____ Specify type _____

Facility Users Fee _____ Specify type _____

Dedicated Tax _____ Specify type _____

Other Fee, Levy or Tax _____ Specify type _____

SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM
ROUND 15 - PROGRAM YEAR 2001
PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA
JULY 1, 2001 TO JUNE 30, 2002

NAME OF APPLICANT: ST. BERNARD

NAME OF PROJECT: ANDALLS AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

RATING TEAM: 2

NOTE: See the attached "Addendum To The Rating System" for definitions, explanations and clarifications to each of the criterion points of this rating system.

CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING

1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

- 25 - Failed
- 23 - Critical
- 20 - Very Poor
- ☒ 17 - Poor
- 15 - Moderately Poor
- 10 - Moderately Fair
- 5 - Fair Condition
- 0 - Good or Better

*PAVEMENT ONLY - ASBESTOS
CUTTING BACK END
IN WORK CURB AND*

Appeal Score

2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

- 25 - Highly significant importance
- 20 - Considerably significant importance
- 15 - Moderate importance
- 10 - Minimal importance
- ☒ 0 - No measurable impact

CITES SANITARY SEWER FLOODING

CITES CORRECTIVE MEASURES

PROVIDES NO LOCKUP DOCUMENTATION

*TO DEFINE SEVERITY - 2 ASBESTOS AT THE SOURCE
END OF SLOPE*

Appeal Score

3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

- 25 - Highly significant importance
- 20 - Considerably significant importance
- 15 - Moderate importance
- 10 - Minimal importance
- ☒ 0 - No measurable impact

SEE #2

Appeal Score

4) Does the project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying jurisdiction?

Note: Jurisdiction's priority listing (part of the Additional Support Information) must be filed with application(s).

- ☒ 25 - First priority project
- 20 - Second priority project
- 15 - Third priority project
- 10 - Fourth priority project
- 5 - Fifth priority project or lower

Appeal Score

5) Will the completed project generate user fees or assessments?

- ☒ 10 - No
- 0 - Yes

Appeal Score

6) Economic Growth – How the completed project will enhance economic growth (See definitions).

- 10 – The project will directly secure significant new employment
- 7 – The project will directly secure new employment
- 5 – The project will secure new employment
- 3 – The project will permit more development
- 0 – The project will not impact development

Appeal Score

7) Matching Funds - LOCAL

- 10 - This project is a loan or credit enhancement
- 10 – 50% or higher
- 8 – 40% to 49.99%
- 6 – 30% to 39.99%
- 4 – 20% to 29.99%
- 2 – 10% to 19.99%
- 0 – Less than 10%

8) Matching Funds - OTHER

- 10 – 50% or higher
- 8 – 40% to 49.99%
- 6 – 30% to 39.99%
- 4 – 20% to 29.99%
- 2 – 10% to 19.99%
- 1 – 1% to 9.99%
- 0 – Less than 1%

9) Will the project alleviate serious traffic problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?
(See Addendum for definitions)

- 10 - Project design is for future demand.
- 8 - Project design is for partial future demand.
- 6 - Project design is for current demand.
- 4 - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.
- 2 - Project design is for no increase in capacity.

Appeal Score

10) Ability to Proceed - If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (See Addendum concerning delinquent projects)

- 5 - Will be under contract by December 31, 2001 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 12 & 13
- 3 - Will be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13
- 0 - Will not be under contract by March 31, 2002 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 12 & 13

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc. (See Addendum for definitions)

- 10 - Major impact
- 8 -
- 6 - Moderate impact
- 4 -
- 2 - Minimal or no impact

Appeal Score

12) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

10 Points

8 Points

6 Points

4 Points

2 Points

13) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?

10 - Complete ban, facility closed

Appeal Score

8 - 80% reduction in legal load or 4 wheeled vehicles only

7 - Moratorium on future development, *not* functioning for current demand

6 - 60% reduction in legal load

5 - Moratorium on future development, functioning for current demand

4 - 40% reduction in legal load

2 - 20% reduction in legal load

0 - Less than 20% reduction in legal load

14) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

10 - 16,000 or more

Appeal Score

8 - 12,000 to 15,999

6 - 8,000 to 11,999

4 - 4,000 to 7,999

2 - 3,999 and under

15) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or dedicated tax for the pertinent infrastructure? (Provide documentation of which fees have been enacted.)

5 - Two or more of the above

Appeal Score

3 - One of the above

0 - None of the above

ADDENDUM TO THE RATING SYSTEM

General Statement for Rating Criteria

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applicant, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

Criterion 1 - Condition

Condition is based on the amount of deterioration that is field verified or documented exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. Condition is rated only on the facility being repaired or abandoned. (Documentation may include: ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application.)

Definitions:

Failed Condition - requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: completely non functioning and replacement parts are unavailable.)

Critical Condition - requires moderate or partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: some non-functioning, others obsolete and replacement parts are unavailable.)

Very Poor Condition - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or minor replacement of pipe sections; Hydrants: non-functioning and replacement parts are available.)

Poor Condition - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs; Hydrants: functional, but leaking and replacement parts are unavailable.)

Moderately Poor Condition - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair; Hydrants: functional and replacement parts are available.)

Moderately Fair Condition - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

Fair Condition - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

Good or Better Condition - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

Note: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will **NOT** be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

Criterion 2 – Safety

The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (e.g. widening existing roadway lanes to standard widths, adding lanes to a roadway or bridge to increase capacity or alleviate congestion, replacing non-functioning hydrants, increasing capacity to a water system, etc. Documentation is required.)

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Criterion 3 – Health

The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area (e.g. Improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.)

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

Criterion 4 – Jurisdiction's Priority Listing

The jurisdiction **must** submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance. The form is included in the Additional Support Information.

Criterion 5 – Generate Fees

Will the local jurisdiction assess fees or project costs for the usage of the facility or its products once the project is completed (example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.). The applying jurisdiction must submit documentation.

Criterion 6 – Economic Growth

Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development in the service area?

Definitions:

Directly secure significant new employment: The project is specifically designed to secure a particular development/employer(s), which will add at least 100 or more new employees. The applicant agency must supply specific details of the development, the employer(s), and number of new permanent employees.

Directly secure new employment: The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add at least 50 new permanent employees. The applying agency must supply details of the development and the type and number of new permanent employees.

Secure new employment: The project is specifically designed to secure development/employers, which will add 10 or more new permanent employees. The applying agency must submit details.

Permit more development: The project is designed to permit additional business development. The applicant must supply details.

The project will not impact development: The project will have no impact on business development.

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply.

Criterion 7 – Matching Funds - Local

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying local government.

Criterion 8 – Matching Funds - Other

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7.

Criterion 9 – Alleviate Traffic Problems

The jurisdiction shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion or hazards will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

<u>Design Year</u>	<u>Design year factor</u>		
	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Suburban</u>	<u>Rural</u>
20	1.40	1.70	1.60
10	1.20	1.35	1.30

Definitions:

Future demand – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twenty-year projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

Partial future demand – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

Current demand – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

Minimal increase – Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

No increase – Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

Criterion 10 - Ability to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and OPWC defined delinquent projects. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. A jurisdiction receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application may be considered as having a delinquent project.

Criterion 11 - Regional Impact

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

Definitions:

Major Impact - Roads: major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes.

Moderate Impact - Roads: principal thoroughfares, Federal Aid Urban routes

Minimal / No Impact - Roads: cul-de-sacs, subdivision streets

Criterion 12 – Economic Health

The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of a jurisdiction may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.

Criterion 13 - Ban

The jurisdiction shall provide documentation to show that a facility ban or moratorium has been formally placed. The ban or moratorium must have been caused by a structural or operational problem. Points will only be awarded if the end result of the project will cause the ban to be lifted.

Criterion 14 - Users

The applying jurisdiction shall provide documentation. A registered professional engineer or the applying jurisdictions' C.E.O must certify the appropriate documentation. Documentation may include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

Criterion 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.

The applying jurisdiction shall document (in the "Additional Support Information" form) which type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of infrastructure being applied for.